

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,554	02/19/2002	Yumiko Seki	500.41210X00	1472
24956 75	6 7590 12/15/2005		EXAMINER	
	, STANGER, MALUF	VIG, NARESH		
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA	IA, VA 22314		3629	

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u> 23			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/076,554	SEKI ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Naresh Vig	3629
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- ted preceived by the Office later than three months after the mailined and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 on 1	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Dispositi	on of Claims		
5)☐ 6)⊠ 7)☐ 8)☐ <b>Applicati</b> 9)☐ 10)☐	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraward.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the oath or declaration is objected to be objected t	awn from consideration.  for election requirement.  her. herecepted or b) objected to by the legisle drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
· a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received.  Ints have been received in Application or ity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date 20020219.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P  6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/076,554

Art Unit: 3629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 4 and 6 – 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ZipForm (document labeled as Information on ZipForm) in view of Gajraj US Publication 2002/0002566.

Regarding claims 1 and 6, ZipForm teaches application preparation assisting method and system for assisting in preparing an application for making a document-based application [ZipForm page 11 – 13] to a public organization. ZipForm teaches:

ZipForm does not explicitly teaches acquiring information on a world-wide standard which defines contents that should be described in said application. However, ZipForm teaches Data Template [page 12]. However, Gajraj teaches A generic standard for DTDs is called Standard Generalised Markup Language (SGML) [Gajraj, 0004].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify ZipForm as taught by Gajraj to have a standard

format from which data is to be converted to a custom format. For example, it is known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that Data Interchange Format (aka DIF) was one of the world standard format to transform data from first document (MS Excel) to second document (Lotus 123).

ZipForm in view of Gajraj taches:

acquiring information on a standard unique to each country which is defined in detail in conformity to said world-wide standard (transforming a first document marked up according to a first document type definition, into a second document marked up according to a second document type definition) [Gajraj, 0014], and information on published applications and examinations unique to each country [ZipForm page 18, set for form for South Dakota Area and Kokomo Area]; and

assisting in preparing application documents based on said acquired information on the world-wide standard, information on the standard unique to each country acquiring information on a standard unique to each country which is defined in detail in conformity to said world-wide standard (transforming a first document marked up according to a first document type definition, into a second document marked up according to a second document type definition) [Gajraj, 0014], and information on the application and examination [ZipForm in view of Gajraj, 0014].

Regarding claims 2 and 7, ZipForm in view of Gajraj teaches capability for preparing and converting the application documents for a certain country to comply with

Application/Control Number: 10/076,554

Art Unit: 3629

an application format or examination conditions in said country [Gajraj, 0014, ZipForm page 18, area specific forms].

Regarding claims 3 and 8, ZipForm in view of Gajraj teaches capability for acquiring information on documents which have been applied in a certain country (ZipForm, area specific form), and information on documents which have accepted permission from said country as a result of examinations after application [ZipForm, reuse data, data transferred to other forms); and

assisting in preparing an application for another country with reference to said information on the documents [ZipForm, different area specific forms, page 18].

Regarding claim 4. ZipForm in view of Gajraj teaches electronically submitting the prepared application documents to perform electronic application processing.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ZipForm (document labeled as Information on ZipForm) in view of Gajraj US Publication 2002/0002566 and further in view of Abbruzzese et al. US Patent 5557515 hereinafter known as Abbruzzese.

Regarding claim 5, ZipForm in view of Gajraj teaches does not teach recording an entire manipulation procedure of an operator for preparing an application with the

Application/Control Number: 10/076,554

Art Unit: 3629

assistance of application preparation as log information so that the log information can be viewed at a later time. However, Abbruzzese teaches an electronic activity log function maintains a record of key activities involved in the processing of work items [abstract].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify ZipForm in view of Gajraj as taught by Abbruzzesse to automatically and securely maintain a record of the activities of all staff members in work processing.

## Conclusion

Applicant is required under 37 CRF '1.111 (c) to consider the references fully when responding to this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naresh Vig whose telephone number is (571) 272-6810. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 - 6:00 (Wednesday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on (571) 272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Naresh Vig Examiner Art Unit 3629 Page 6

December 11, 2005